

**To:** CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**From:** CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Fri 10/14/2011 7:27:11 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: Logic Chain Letters and Memos

fyi

----- Forwarded by Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US on 10/14/2011 12:26 PM -----

**From:** Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US  
**To:** Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 10/12/2011 02:35 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Re: Logic Chain Letters and Memos

Well, an interesting time at BDCP - 5 agencies yesterday. Far from any 8-fold path...

I gave some comments on the flows appendix of the effects analysis (an absolutely awful bit of paper wastage btw, particularly in regard to X2).

I questioned how they justified the conclusion that increased inundation of the bypass could help adult upmigration of sturgeon and lampreys; ICF's response was that since that was the objective and adaptive management would be used, then that would be the result...(!).

I pressed on a couple of other issues and she finally got tired of trying to defend the document and blurted out that theirs was "not the only hand on the pen." Since the 5 agencies had a firm agreement that all 5 would see drafts from the contractors at the same time, the non-DWR agencies were upset -- Maria and Scot Cantrell were polite but firm in their reactions. Russ of DWR tried to explain that they were just helping ICF frame the issues, but he seemed to just dig the hole deeper.

The afternoon modeling meeting was quite good. When I complained that I couldn't find a description of the operations constraints and how they had been set in the models, Patti Idelof assured me that she had sent round the descriptions of modeling assumptions months ago, but at the same time ICF was saying that they would write them up soon and send them to everyone on the sign-in sheet.

Also had a brief meeting with Les after the modeling group was done and I expect that I'll be seeing more of him and his staff soon. I hope anyway.

hope your trip was even more fun....

I am leaving early on Friday so how's about tomorrow at 1 to discuss the EH/MC?

Bruce

**From:** Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US  
**To:** Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 10/08/2011 10:47 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Re: Logic Chain Letters and Memos

When you get a chance to read -

~~~~~  
KAREN SCHWINN  
Associate Director  
Water Division  
U.S. EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
415/972-3472  
415/297-5509 (mobile)  
415/947-3537 (fax)  
~~~~~

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary Bobker [bobker@sbcglobal.net]  
Sent: 10/08/2011 10:30 AM MST  
To: Karen Schwinn  
Subject: Fw: Re: Logic Chain Letters and Memos

I do think it is worth reviewing our EA comments, since they were brilliant, as well as focused on the systemic faults rather than getting lost in the weeds. FYI the "8 fold path" is the workplan for applying the 'logic chain' developed by TBI and AR.

Gary Bobker, Program Director

The Bay Institute

695 De Long Avenue, Suite 100

Novato, CA 94945

ph: 415-878-2929 x 25

fx: 415-878-2930

main office email: bobker@bay.org

home office email: bobker@sbcglobal.net

-[attachment "The Logic Chain -- users guide - v3 082910.doc" deleted by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US]  
[attachment "Logic Chain and Flows 82410.pdf" deleted by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US] [attachment "8 Fold Path 4-28.doc" deleted by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US] [attachment "NGO Effects Analysis Comment Letter 11\_3\_10.pdf" deleted by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US]